



Author/Lead Officer of Report: *Alex Shilkoff,
Community Services Manager*

Tel: 0114 27 35140

Report of: *Jayne Ludlam*

Report to: *Cllr Jack Scott*

Date of Decision: *24th March 2017*

Subject: *Roshni Core Service Grant Award 2017-20*

Is this a Key Decision? If Yes, reason Key Decision:-

Yes ☐ No ☒

- Expenditure and/or savings over £500,000

☐

- Affects 2 or more Wards

☐

Which Cabinet Member Portfolio does this relate to? *Cllr Jack Scott, Cabinet Member for
Libraries and Community Services*

Which Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee does this relate to? *Safer and
Stronger Communities*

Has an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) been undertaken?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If YES, what EIA reference number has it been given? *EIA943*

Does the report contain confidential or exempt information?

Yes ☐ No ☒

If YES, give details as to whether the exemption applies to the full report / part of the
report and/or appendices and complete below:-

*"The (**report/appendix**) is not for publication because it contains exempt information
under Paragraph (**insert relevant paragraph number**) of Schedule 12A of the Local
Government Act 1972 (as amended)."*

Purpose of Report:

To award Roshni a Core Service grant award for 2017/18 to 2019/20 in compliance
with the September 2016 Grant Aid Cabinet Report.

Recommendations:

To award Roshni a Core Service Grant of £43,600 per year 2017/18 to 2019/20 in compliance with the September 2016 Grant Aid Cabinet Report.

Background Papers:

(Insert details of any background papers used in the compilation of the report.)

Grant Aid Cabinet Report, September 2016

<http://democracy.sheffield.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=123&MId=6283&Ver=4>

| Lead Officer to complete:- | |
|----------------------------|--|
| 1 | I have consulted the relevant departments in respect of any relevant implications indicated on the Statutory and Council Policy Checklist, and comments have been incorporated / additional forms completed / EIA completed, where required. |
| | Finance: <i>Liz Gough</i> |
| | Legal: <i>Henry Watmough-Cownie</i> |
| | Equalities: <i>Ed Sexton</i> |
| | <i>Legal, financial/commercial and equalities implications must be included within the report and the name of the officer consulted must be included above.</i> |
| 2 | EMT member who approved submission: <i>Jayne Ludlam</i> |
| 3 | Cabinet Member consulted: <i>Cllr Jack Scott</i> |
| 4 | I confirm that all necessary approval has been obtained in respect of the implications indicated on the Statutory and Council Policy Checklist and that the report has been approved for submission to the Decision Maker by the EMT member indicated at 2. In addition, any additional forms have been completed and signed off as required at 1. |
| | Lead Officer Name: <i>Alex Shilkoff</i> |
| | Job Title: <i>Community Services Manager</i> |
| | Date: 24 th March 2017 |

1. PROPOSAL

(Explain the proposal, current position and need for change, including any evidence considered, and indicate whether this is something the Council is legally required to do, or whether it is something it is choosing to do)

1.1 To award the following Core Service grant award in compliance with the September 2016 Grant Aid Cabinet Report.

1.2 Issue to note:

- In a change from previous grant aid rounds the new grant agreements (unless stated) are for 3 years, rather than one year. However, in years 2 and 3 grant recipients are only guaranteed 80% and 75% respectively of the year 1 value. Funds permitting the council may choose to award 100% of the year 1 value in years 2 and 3.

| Organisation | 2017/18 Year 1 amount | Year 2 minimum guaranteed amount (80% Year 1 amount) | Year 3 minimum guaranteed amount (75% of year 1 amount) | Total of guaranteed minimum |
|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|
| Roshni | 43,600 | 34,880 | 32,700 | 111,180 |

1.3 In the selection of the organisations for a core service grant the views of relevant commissioning and specialist officers were sought and consideration was given to whether alternative funding routes were available within the Council and elsewhere. The impact, both on communities of interest, in line with the Council's equality duties, and Council Services, was considered if funding was no longer available following the end of existing Voluntary Sector Grant Fund agreements in March 2017. The organisations' provided detailed impact forms which helped to inform these considerations as well as historical monitoring. Each organisation's potential for income generation and alternative sources of funding was also included as part of the evaluation.

2. HOW DOES THIS DECISION CONTRIBUTE ?

(Explain how this proposal will contribute to the ambitions within the Corporate Plan and what it will mean for people who live, work, learn in or visit the City. For example, does it increase or reduce inequalities and is the decision inclusive?; does it have an impact on climate change?; does it improve the customer experience?; is there an economic impact?)

2.1 The allocation of this funding to VCF organisations will fundamentally contribute to the Priorities of the Council's Corporate Plan 2015-18. In particular; Tackling Inequalities and Better Health & Wellbeing

In addition, the allocation of this funding will contribute to the Fairness

Commission's recommendations around -

- Health & Wellbeing for All
- A Safe City
- What Citizens and Communities can do

Delivery of this three year strategy will mean grant funding will continue to be available to support activities and services that will directly benefit a wide range of vulnerable local citizens. Beneficiaries from the proposed Core Service Grants will include the following groups of vulnerable local people –

- Those in need of advice and advocacy services
- Ethnic minority women

3. HAS THERE BEEN ANY CONSULTATION?

(Refer to the Consultation Principles and Involvement Guide. Indicate whether the Council is required to consult on the proposal, and provide details of any consultation activities undertaken and their outcomes.)

3.1 Proposals in the September 2016 cabinet report for Core Service grants were consulted on in summer 2016.

3.2 The views of relevant commissioning and specialist officers were sought and consideration was given to whether alternative funding routes were available within the Council and elsewhere. The impact, both on communities of interest, in line with the Council's equality duties, and Council Services, was considered if funding was no longer available following the end of existing VSGF grant agreements in March 2017. The organisations' provided detailed impact forms which helped to inform these considerations as well as historical monitoring. Each organisation's potential for income generation and alternative sources of funding was also included as part of the evaluation.

4. RISK ANALYSIS AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE DECISION

4.1 Equality of Opportunity Implications

4.1.1 An EIA was completed for these proposals in September 2016. All Core Service Grant recipients are required to complete diversity monitoring so that the impact of the grant awards can be understood over time.

4.2 Financial and Commercial Implications

4.2.1 The list of organisations proposed are those named in appendix 4 of the September 2016 cabinet report. The amounts awarded are within the available grant aid budget.

4.3 Legal Implications

The legal power for the Council to establish, administer and make awards from the various grant funds as described in this report is provided by the general power of competence contained in Section 1 of

the Localism Act 2011. The Council must be mindful of the requirements imposed by the public sector equality duty enacted in Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010.

4.3.1 Sections 1.5.3 and 1.5.4 of the September 2016 Grant Aid Cabinet Report state the following, “the Cabinet Member for Libraries and Community Services is proposing the 8 organisations listed in Appendix 4 [of the cabinet report] are prioritised for continued funding via a Core Service Grant from April 2017. In formulating the list, the Cabinet Member considered a number of factors including, each organisation’s track record, quality of service and management, value and fit with Council priorities, opportunities for income generation and accessing other funding, uniqueness of service and the degree of dependency, if any, the Council has on the services delivered.

4.3.2 All the grant recipients will be issued with agreements that contain the relevant Sheffield City Council Terms & Conditions for such awards.

4.4 Other Implications

(Refer to the Executive decision making guidance and provide details of all relevant implications, e.g. HR, property, public health).

4.4.1 n/a

5. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

(Outline any alternative options which were considered but rejected in the course of developing the proposal.)

5.1 The options considered are in line with the September 2016 cabinet report and therefore no alternative options were considered.

5.2 The Cabinet Member and Cabinet Advisor for Libraries & Community Services has been consulted on the final schedule of awards.

6. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

(Explain why this is the preferred option and outline the intended outcomes.)

6.1 The award to be made is in compliance with the September 2016 cabinet report and can be accommodated within the overall grant aid budget.